

MADE AND ENTERED INTO BY AND BETWEEN:

MOPANI DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY

AS REPRESENTED BY THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER

MR TSHEPO MOGANO

AND

DR TSHEPO MONAKEDI

SENIOR MANAGER: PLANNINNG

THE EMPLOYEE OF THE MUNICIPALITY

PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT

FOR THE

FINANCIAL YEAR:

1 JULY 2025 = 30 JUNE 2026



ENTERED INTO BY AND BETWEEN:

The Municipality herein represented by Mr TSHEPO JACK MOGANO in his capacity as Municipal Manager (hereinafter referred to as the Employer or Supervisor)

and

Dr TSHEPO MONAKEDI Employee of the Municipality (hereinafter referred to as the Employee).

WHEREBY IT IS AGREED AS FOLLOWS:

| 1. | Introduction | 1,1 | The Employer has entered into a contract of employment with the Employee in terms of section 56(1) (a) of the Local Government: Municipal Systems Act 32 of 2000 ("the Systems Act"). The Employer and the Employee are hereinafter referred to as "the Parties". |
|----|--|--------|---|
| | | 1.2 | Section 57(1)(b) of the Systems Act, read with the Contract of Employment concluded between the parties, requires the parties to conclude an annual performance agreement. |
| | | 1.3 | The parties wish to ensure that they are clear about the goals to be achieved, and secure the commitment of the Employee to a set of outcomes that will secure local government policy goals: |
| | an enganganing pinangang pinangang dipangang | 1.4 | The Parties wish to ensure that there is compliance with Sections 57 (4A), 57 (4B) and 57 (5) of the Systems Act |
| 2. | Purpose of this | The pu | rpose of this Agreement is to: |
| | Agreement | 2.1 | Comply with the provisions of Section 57(1) (b), (4A), (4B) and (5) of the Act as well as the employment contract entered into between the parties. |
| | | 2.2 | Specify objectives in terms of the key performance indicators and targets defined and agreed with the employee and to communicate to the employee the employer's expectations of the employee's performance and accountabilities in alignment with the Integrated Development Plan, Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan (SDBIP) and the Budget of the municipality. |
| | | 2.3 | Specify accountabilities as set out in a Performance Plan, which forms an Annexure to the Performance Agreement. |
| | | 2.4 | Monitor and measure performance against set targeted outputs. |
| | | 2.5 | Use the Performance Agreement as the basis for assessing whether the employee has met the performance expectations applicable to his or her job. |
| | | 2.6 | In the event of outstanding performance, to appropriately reward the employee. |
| | | 2.74 | Give effect to the employer's commitment to a performance-orientated relationship with its employee in attaining equitable and improved service delivery. |
| 3. | Commencement | 3.1 | This Agreement will commence on 1 July 2025 and will remain in force until |

| | 3.3 This Agreement will terminate on the termination of the Employee's contract of employment for any reason. |
|------------------------------|--|
| | 3.4 The content of this Agreement may be revised at any time during the above-mentioned period to determine the applicability of the matters agreed upon. |
| | 3.5 If at any time during the validity of this Agreement the work environment alters (whether as a result of government or Council decisions or otherwise) to the extent that the contents of this Agreement are no longer appropriate, the contents shall immediately be revised. |
| 4. Performance Objectives | 4.1 The Performance Plan (Annexure A) sets out- 4.1.1 Key Performance Areas that the employee should focus on |
| | 4.1.2 Core competencies required from employees. 4.1.3 The performance objectives, key performance indicators and targets that must be met by the Employee. 4.1.4 The time frames within which those performance objectives and targets must be met. |
| | 4.2 The performance objectives, key performance indicators and targets reflected in Annexure A are set by the Employer in consultation with the Employee and based on the Integrated Development Plan, Service Delivery |
| | and Budget Implementation Plan (SDBIP) and the Budget of the Employer, and shall include strategic objectives; key performance indicators; targets; projects and activities that may include dates and weightings. A description of these elements follows: |
| | 4.2.1 The strategic objectives describe the strategic intent of the organisation that needs to be achieved. |
| | 4.2.2 The strategic performance indicators provide the details of the evidence that must be provided to show that a key objective has been achieved. |
| | 4.2.3 The target dates describe the timeframe in which the work must be achieved. |
| | 4.2.4 The weightings show the relative importance of the key performance areas, key objectives, and key performance indicators to each other. |
| | A CONTRACTOR CONTRACTO |
| 5. Performance | 5.1 The Employee agrees to participate in the performance management |

 \bigcirc

Management System

- system that the Employer adopts or introduces for the Employee, management and municipal staff of the Employer.
- 5.2 The Employee accepts that the purpose of the performance management system will be to provide a comprehensive system with specific performance standards to assist the Employer, management and municipal staff to perform to the standards required.
- 5.3 The Employer will consult the Employee about the specific performance standards that will be included in the performance management system as applicable to the Employee.
- 5.4 The Employee undertakes to actively focus towards the promotion and implementation of the KPA's (including special projects relevant to the employee's responsibilities) within the local government framework.
- 5.5 The criteria upon which the performance of the Employee shall be assessed shall consist of two components, Key Performance Areas and core Competency Requirements; both of which shall be contained in the Performance Agreement.
 - 5.5.1: The Employee must be assessed against both components, with a weighting of 80.20 allocated to the Key Performance Areas (KPA's) and the Core Competency Requirements (CCRs) respectively.
 - 5.5.2 KPA's covering the main areas of work will account for 80% and CCRs will account for 20% of the final assessment.
 - 5.5.3 Each area of assessment will be weighted and will contribute a specific part to the total score.
- The Employee's assessment will be based on his / her performance in terms of the key performance indicator outputs: / outcomes identified as per attached Performance Plan (Annexure A), which are linked to the KPA's, and will constitute 80% of the overall assessment result as per the weightings agreed to between the Employer and Employee:

| | 2 A S |
|--|-----------|
| Key Performance Areas (KPA's) | Weighting |
| Municipal Institutional Development and Transformation | 15% |
| Basic Service Delivery | 5% |
| Local Economic Development (LED): | 30%. |
| Municipal Financial Viability and Management | 10% |
| Good Governance and Public Participation | 10% |
| Spatial Rationale | 30% |
| Total | 100% |

- Manager's responsibilities are also directed in terms of the above mentioned key performance areas. In the case of managers directly accountable to the Municipal Manager, other key performance areas related to the functional area of the relevant manager can be added subject to negotiation between the municipal manager and the relevant manager.
- 5.8 The CCRs will make up the other 20% of the Employee's assessment score

4/18

CCRs that are deemed to be most critical for the Employee's specific job should be selected (v) from the list below as agreed to between the Employer and Employee. Three of the CCRs are compulsory for Municipal Managers:

| CORE MANAGERIAL COMPETENCIES (CMC) 1 | WEIGHT |
|---------------------------------------|--------|
| Strategic Capability and Leadership | 15% |
| Programme and Project Management | 5% |
| Financial Management | 10%: |
| Change Management | 10% |
| Knowledge Management | 10% |
| Service Delivery Innovation | 15% |
| Problem Solving and Analysis | 10% |
| People Management and Empowerment | 10% |
| Client Orientation and Customer Focus | 5% |
| Communication | 5% |
| Accountability and Ethical Conduct | -5% |
| Total percentage | 100% |

6. Evaluating Performance

- 6.1 The Performance Plan (Annexure A) to this Agreement sets out:
 - 6.1.1 The standards and procedures for evaluating the Employee's performance.
 - 6.1.2 The intervals for the evaluation of the Employee's performance.
- 6.2 Despite the establishment of agreed intervals for evaluation, the Employer may in addition review the Employee's performance at any stage while the contract of employment remains in force.
- 6.3 Personal growth and development needs identified during any performance review discussion must be documented in a Personal Development Plan as well as the actions agreed to and implementation must take place within set time frames.
- 6.4 The Employee's performance will be measured in terms of contributions to the strategic objectives and strategies set out in the Employer's IDP
- 6.5 The Annual performance appraisal will involve:
 - 6.5.1 Assessment of the achievement of results as outlined in the Performance Plan:
 - (a) Each KPA should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards or performance indicators have been met and with due regard to ad hoc tasks that had to be performed under the KPA.
 - (b) Values are supplied for KPI's and Activities under each KPA as part of the Institutional Assessment. Based on the Target for an activity or KPI', over or under performance are calculated and converted to the 1-5 point scale automatically. These scores are carried over to the applicable employee's performance plan. During assessment, the employee has a chance to submit evidence of performance where a disagreement.
 - (c) The applicable assessment ratings and scores will calculate a final KPA score.

5/1

6.5.2 Assessment of the CCRs:

- (a) Each CCR should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards have been met.
- (b) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each ECR.
- (c) This rating should be multiplied by the weighting given to each CCR during the contracting process, to provide a score
- (d) The applicable assessment rating calculator (refer to paragraph 6:5.1) must then be used to add the scores and calculate a final CCR score.

6.5.3 Overall rating:

An overall rating is calculated by using the applicable assessmentrating calculator. Such overall rating represents the outcomes of the various weighted ratings contained in the performance Plan which represents the outcome of the performance appraisal.

The assessment of the performance of the Employee will be based on the following rating scale for KPA's and CCRs:

| Rating | Terminology | Description | % Score |
|----------|--|---|------------|
| 5 45 | Outstanding performance | Performance far exceeds the standard expected of an employee at this level. | 167 |
| 4 | Performance significantly above expectations | Performance is significantly higher than the standard expected in the job. | 133 - 166 |
| 3 | Fully effective | Performance fully meets the standards expected in all areas of the job. | 100 - 132 |
| 2 | Performance not fully effective | Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job. | 67 - 99 |
| 1 | Unacceptable performance | Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability to bring performance up to the level expected in the job despite management efforts to encourage improvement. | 0-66 |

For the purpose of evaluating the performance of the section 57 manager reporting to the municipal manager, an evaluation panel constituted of the

8P

| | 6.7.1 Municipal Manager 6.7.2 Chairperson of the performance audit committee 6.7.3 Member of the mayoral committee 6.7.4 Municipal manager from another municipality |
|--|---|
| | 6.7 The manager responsible for human resources of the municipality must provide secretariat services to the evaluation panels referred to in sub-regulations (d) and (e). |
| Schedule for Performance Reviews | 7.1 The performance of each Employee in relation to his / her Performance Agreement shall be reviewed within the month following the quarters as indicated with the understanding that reviews in the first and third quarter may be verbal if performance is satisfactory. |
| | First quarter : July = September 2025 (October 2025) Second quarter : October = December 2025 (January 2026) Third quarter : January = March 2026 (April 2026) Fourth quarter : April = June 2026 (July 2026) |
| | 7.2 The Employer shall keep a record of the mid-year review and annual assessment meetings. 7.3 Performance feedback shall be based on the Employer's assessment of the Employee's performance. |
| | 7.4 The Employer will be entitled to review and make reasonable changes to the provisions of Annexure "A" from time to time for operational reasons. The Employee will be fully consulted before any such change is made. |
| | 7.5 The Employer may amend the provisions of Annexure A whenever the performance management system is adopted, implemented and / or amended as the case may be. In that case the Employee will be fully consulted before any such change is made. |
| . Developmental Requirements | The Personal Development Plan (PDP) for addressing developmental gaps is attached as Annexure B. |
| Obligations of the Employer | 9.1 The Employer shall: 9.1.1 Create an enabling environment to facilitate effective performance by the employee. |
| | 9:1.2 Provide access to skills development and capacity building opportunities. |
| | 9.1.3 Work collaboratively with the Employee to solve problems and generate solutions to common problems that may impact on the performance of the Employee. |
| | 9.1.4 On the request of the Employee delegate such powers reasonably required by the Employee to enable him / her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this |
| | Agreement: 9:1.5 Make available to the Employee such resources as the Employee) |

 \bigcirc

| | meet th | isonably require from ti e performance objective greement. | 4 3842 83 44.5 304 540 451 | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| LO. Consultation | | agrees to consult the powers will have among | | meously where th |
| | | | | |
| | 10.1.1 A direction | t effect on the perform | nance of any | of the Employee |
| | en e | | | en de la companya de Companya de la companya de la compa |
| | | the Employee to impler the Employer. | nent or to give | e effect to a decisio |
| | Have D | / the triployer. | | |
| | 10.1.3 A substa | intial financial effect on I | the Émployer. | |
| | 10.2 The Employer a | grees to inform the E | mplovee of t | he outcome of an |
| | decisions taken p | oursuant to the exercise | of powers con | templated in 10.1 a |
| | soon as is practi without delay. | able to enable the Emp | loyee to take | any necessary actio |
| 1 Managament of | Without delay. | <u>es en elegan a mai la liberta.</u> | New Organica (# No. or | Strang and Paper of the Con- |
| 1. Management of Evaluation | 11.1 The evaluation | of the Employee's perf | formance will | form the basis fo |
| Outcomes | rewarding out | standing performance | | |
| | performance. | | | |
| | 11.2 A performance | ponus of between 5% t | o 14% of the | all-inclusive annua |
| | | ackage may be paid to | | AND RESERVED TO A SECOND PROPERTY OF THE PROPE |
| | outstanding perf | ormance to be constitute | ad ac fallower | |
| 48 | | oundice to be consulted. | cu as ionows. | |
| | | 10 1 0 1 | | |
| | / % | Rating Over rformance | % Bonus | |
| | / % | Rating Over rformance | % Bonus | |
| | / % | Rating Over rformance 130 - 133.8 133.9 - 137.6 | % Bonus 5% 6% | |
| | / / % | Rating Over rformance 130 - 133.8 133.9 - 137.6 137.7 - 141.4 | % Bonus 5% 6% | |
| | / / % | Rating Over rformance 130 - 133.8 133.9 - 137.6 | % Bonus 5% 6% | |
| | / / % | Rating Over rformance 130 - 133.8 133.9 - 137.6 137.7 - 141.4 141.5 - 145.2 | % Bonus 5% 6%+++ + 7%- | |
| | / / % | Rating Over rformance 130 - 133.8 133.9 - 137.6 137.7 - 141.4 141.5 - 145.2 145.3 - 149 150 - 153.4 153.5 - 156.8 | % Bonus 5% 6% 17% 8% 9% 10% 11% | |
| | / / % | Rating Over rformance 130 - 133.8 183.9 - 137.6 137.7 - 141.4 141.5 - 145.2 145.3 - 149 150 - 153.4 153.5 - 156.8 156.9 - 160.2 | % Bonus 5% 6% 7% 8% 9% 10% 11% 12% | |
| | / / % | Rating Over rformance 130 - 133.8 133.9 - 137.6 137.7 - 141.4 141.5 - 145.2 145.3 - 149 150 - 153.4 153.5 - 156.8 156.9 - 160.2 160.2 - 163.6 | % Bonus 55% 69% 4.7% 88% 9% 10% 11% 12% 13% | |
| | / / % | Rating Over rformance 130 - 133.8 183.9 - 137.6 137.7 - 141.4 141.5 - 145.2 145.3 - 149 150 - 153.4 153.5 - 156.8 156.9 - 160.2 | % Bonus 5% 6% 7% 8% 9% 10% 11% 12% | |
| | % Pe | Rating Over rformance 130 - 133.8 133.9 - 137.6 137.7 - 141.4 141.5 - 145.2 145.3 - 149 150 - 153.4 153.5 - 156.8 156.9 - 160.2 160.2 - 163.6 | % Bonus 5% 6% 17% 8% 9% 10% 11% 12% 13% 14% | shall: |
| | 11.3 . In the case of uni | Rating Over rformance 130 - 133.8 133.9 - 137.6 137.7 - 141.4 141.5 - 145.2 145.3 - 149 150 - 153.4 153.5 - 156.8 156.9 - 160.2 160.2 - 163.6 163.7 - 167 acceptable performance, | % Bonus 55% 69% 4.7% 88% 9% 10% 11% 12% 13% 14% the Employer | |
| | 11.3 In the case of uni | Rating Over rformance 130 - 133.8 133.9 - 137.6 137.7 - 141.4 141.5 - 145.2 145.3 - 149 150 - 153.4 153.5 - 156.8 156.9 - 160.2 160.2 - 163.6 163.7 - 167 acceptable performance, | % Bonus 5% 6% 4.7% 8% 9% 10% 11% 12% 13% 14% the Employer development | al support to assis |
| | 11.3 In the case of uni | Rating Over rformance 130 - 133.8 133.9 - 137.6 137.7 - 141.4 141.5 - 145.2 145.3 - 149 150 - 153.4 153.5 - 156.8 156.9 - 160.2 160.2 - 163.6 163.7 - 167 acceptable performance, | % Bonus 5% 6% 4.7% 8% 9% 10% 11% 12% 13% 14% the Employer development | al support to assis |
| | 11.3 In the case of uni 11.3.1 Provide the Emp | Rating Over rformance 130 - 133.8 133.9 - 137.6 137.7 - 141.4 141.5 - 145.2 145.3 - 149 150 - 153.4 153.5 - 156.8 156.9 - 160.2 160.2 - 163.6 163.7 - 167 acceptable performance, systematic remedial or loyee to improve his or legropriate performance | % Bonus 5% 6% 17% 8% 9% 10% 11% 12% 13% 14% the Employer development er performant | al support to assis ce: nd having provided |
| | 11.3.1 Provide the Emp | Rating Over rformance 130 - 133.8 133.9 - 137.6 137.7 - 141.4 141.5 - 145.2 145.3 - 149 150 - 153.4 153.5 - 156.8 156.9 - 160.2 160.2 - 163.6 163.7 - 167 acceptable performance, systematic remedial or loyee to improve his or formance and/or sessary guidance and/or sess | % Bonus 5% 6% 4.7% 8% 9% 10% 11% 12% 13% 14% the Employer development her performant counselling a support as wel | al support to assis ce: nd having provide I as reasonable tim |
| | 11.3 In the case of unit 11.3.1 Provide the Empthe neck for imp | Rating Over rformance 130 - 133.8 133.9 - 137.6 137.7 - 141.4 141.5 - 145.2 145.3 - 149 150 - 153.4 153.5 - 156.8 156.9 - 160.2 160.2 - 163.6 163.7 - 167 acceptable performance, systematic remedial or loyee to improve his or loyee to improve his or loyee to improve and/orserovement in performance rovement in performance. | % Bonus 5% 6% 7% 8% 9% 10% 11% 12% 13% 14% the Employer development er performance counselling a support as welce, the Employer | al support to assis ce: nd having provide I as reasonable time loyer may conside |
| | 11.3.1 Provide the Emp 11.3.2 After at the necessor imposteps to | Rating Over rformance 130 - 133.8 133.9 - 137.6 137.7 - 141.4 141.5 - 145.2 145.3 - 149 150 - 153.4 153.5 - 156.8 156.9 - 160.2 160.2 - 163.6 163.7 - 167 acceptable performance, systematic remedial or loyee to improve his or formance and/or sessary guidance and/or sess | % Bonus 5% 6% 17% 8% 9% 10% 11% 12% 13% 14% the Employer development her performance counselling a support as well ace, the Employment of employment | al support to assisce. Ind having provide time las reasonable time loyer may conside to the Employee of |

12.1

12. Dispute

Any disputes about the nature of the Employee's performance agreement,

whether it relates to key responsibilities, priorities, methods of assessment Resolution and/ or any other matter provided for, shall be mediated by the mayor within thirty (30) days of receipt of a formal dispute from the employee, whose decision shall be final and binding on both parties. The decision of the mediator (Mayor) shall be final and binding on both parties whose decision shall be final and binding on both parties. Any disputes about the outcome of the employee's performance evaluation, must be mediated by a member of the municipal council, provided that such member was not part of the evaluation panel provided for in subregulation 27(4) (e) of the Municipal Performance Regulations, Regulation 805 of 2006, within thirty (30) days of receipt of a formal dispute from the employee. The decision of the mediator shall be final and binding on both parties whose decision shall be final and binding on both parties. 13.1 The contents of this agreement and the outcome of any review conducted in 13. General terms of Annexure A may be made available to the public by the Employer. Nothing in this agreement diminishes the obligations, duties or 13.2 accountabilities of the Employee in terms of his/ her contract of employment, or the effects of existing or new regulations, circulars, policies, directives or other instruments Thus done and signed at 61. A.T. I. on this the DI day of . JULY 2025 AS WITNESSES


1 OACK

2

AS WITNESSES:

MUNICIPAL MANAGER

Annexure A Personal Performance Plan



MOPANI DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY

Name: Dr Monakedi Tshepo Albia

Position: Senior Manager: Planning & Development

Accountable to: The Municipal Manager

Plan Period: 01 July 2025 – 30 June 2026

Contents



| ANNEXURE A1 - CORE MANAGERIAL COMPETENCIES DETAILS24 | NNEXURE | _ |
|--|---------|----------|
| APPROVAL 23 | | œ |
| PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT PROCESS | | 7. |
| RATING SCALES | | 6 |
| SUMMARY SCORECARD | | 'n |
| CORE COMPETENCY REQUIREMENTS | | 4. |
| SERVICE DELIVERY AND PERFORMANCE INDICATORS | | 'n |
| PURPOSE OF THE POSITION | | Ņ |
| INTRODUCTION | · | \vdash |



INTRODUCTION

Delivery and Budget implementation Plan (SDBIP) and as reviewed annually. provides that performance objectives and targets must be based on the key performance indicators as set in the Municipality's Integrated Development Plan (IDP) and the Municipality's Service The performance plan defines the Council's expectations of the employee's performance agreement to which this document is attached and Section 54 (A) of the Municipal Systems Act, which

STRATEGIC ALIGNMENT:

to the achievement of the objectives over the longer term, in so far it is relevant to the functions of the employee. Regulations (2101) inform the strategic objectives per BSC perspective and the ultimate outcomes to be achieved are listed in the table below. The indicators and targets are aligned to contribute The Objects of Local Government as outlined in the Constitution, Key Performance Areas (KPAs) as outlined in the Local Government: Municipal Planning and Performance Management

| Objects of Local Government | Local Government KPA | Strategic Objectives |
|---|---|---|
| Encourage the involvement of communities and community organisations in the matters of local government | Municipal Transformation and Organisational Development | Develop entrepreneurial and intellectual capability |
| Promote a safe and healthy environment | Basic Service Delivery | Improve Community well-being |
| Ensure the provision of services to communities in a | | Effective coordination of public transport systems |
| sustainable manner. | | Provide clean and safe water |
| | | Develop and maintain infrastructure |
| Promote social and economic development | LED | Grow the economy |
| | Spatial Rationale | Plan for the future |
| Provide democratic and accountable government for local | Municipal Financial Viability and Management | Become financially viable |
| communities | Good Governance and Public Participation | Manage through information |
| | | Democratic and accountable organization |
| | | |

2. PURPOSE OF THE POSITION

The employee undertakes to be committed to the municipality's strategic intent that follows:

"To be the Food Basket of Southern Africa and thé Tourism Destination of Choice"

The Mission:



- To provide integrated sustainable equitable services through democratic responsible and accountable governance.
- Promoting the sustainable use of resources for economic growth to benefit the community.

The value system of Mopani District municipality includes the following:

- Innovation
- Excellence
- Commitment
- Care
- Ubuntu

The employee is accountable and responsible for amongst others:

- The management of the Municipality's administration in accordance with Municipal legislation and other legislation applicable to the Municipality, includes management, discipline and
- The formation and development of an economical, effective, efficient and accountable administration that is equipped to carry out the task of implementing the municipality's Integrated Development Plan (IDP and responsible to the needs of the local community
- The management and monitoring of Municipal Services provided to local community in a sustainable and equitable manner,
- The administration and implementation of the municipality 's by-laws and other legislation, includes the implementation of National and Provincial directives, policies and legislation.
- Exercising powers delegated to the Municipal Manager by the Municipal Council and other authorities of the Municipality.
- Rendering administrative and strategic support to the Executive Mayor and other political structures in council.
- Manage income and expenditure of the municipality to ensure sound financial management of Council.
- 3. SERVICE DELIVERY AND PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

The indicators and targets for which the employee is responsible to achieve and report on follow:

3.1 KEY PERFORMANCE AREA 1: MUNICIPAL TRANSFORMATION AND ORGANIZATIONAL DEVELOPMENT






| 5 ⊢ 0 4 | ω ο⊢≥ | N O ⊢ ≷ | S F O 4 | → o z |
|---|--|--|--|------------------------------------|
| | <u>.</u> , | _ | | No. 18 9 100 100 110 111 |
| Municipal Transform ation & Organizati onal Developm ent | Municipal Transform ation & Organizati onal Developm ent | Municipal Transform ation & Organizati onal Developm ent | Municipal Transform ation & Organizati onal Developm ent | Municipal KPA |
| Good Governan ce and Public Participati on | Good Governan ce and Public Participati on | To inculcate entrepren eurial and intellectua l | To promote democrac y and sound governanc e | Strategic Objective |
| Intern al Audit | PASS | PMS | PMS | Munic ipal Progr amme |
| To attain Clean Audit by ensuring compliance to all governance; financial management and reporting requirements by 30 June 2025 | To ensure quarterly assessments for \$54 & 56 Managers is conducted within 30 days after the end of the quarter. | To ensure PMS is cascaded to lower levels | To ensure that S56 Managers sign the performance agreements within 30 days after adoption of the final SDBIP | Measurable Objectives |
| % of internal audit findings implemente d (Planning) | # of performanc e assessments conducted for Deputy Managers | # of Signed Performanc e Plan by all level 3 within the financial year | Signed Performanc e Agreement by all S56 Manager | Performanc e Indicator Title |
| % | | # | # | Zoc |
| Output | Output | Output | Outcom e | KPI Concept |
| Senior Manager : Planning | Senior Manager: Planning | Senior Manager: Planning | Senior Manager : Planning | KPI Owner |
| 60% | New | New | 1 | Baseli ne |
| Quarterly | Annually | Annually | Annually | Reporting Category |
| 100% | w | ω | 1 | Annual Target |
| Stand- Alone | alone | Stand- Alone | Cumulativ e | KPI Calculatio n Type |
| 25% | . 0 | ω | N/A | Q1 Target |
| 50% | ٥ | N/A | N/A | Dec 25 Q2 Target |
| 75% | ω | N/A | N/A | Q3 |
| 100% | 0 | N/A | - | Jun-26 Q4 Target |
| Resolved IA register/pla n, POE submitted | Performanc e Assessment s report | Signed Performanc e Plan for all level 3 | Signed Performanc e Agreements for the Senior Manager | Source of Evidence |



| | | | 7 | 0 | 4 | | | | | 6 | 0 | -1 | ≤ | | | | | 5 | 0 | -4 | 3 | ን ሴ ፓ |
|-----|----------|-------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------|--------------|------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|
| ent | Developm | onal | Organizati | ation & | Transform | Municipal | ent | developm | onal | organisati | ation and | transform | Municipal | | ent | Developm | onal | Organizati | ation & | Transform | Municipal | Municipal KPA |
| | on. | Participati | Public | ce and | Governan | Good | О | governanc | sound | y and | democrac | promote | ъ | | | on on | Participati | Public | ce and | Governan | Good | Strategic Objective |
| | | • | ₽ | geme | mana | Risk | | | | | | | PMS | | | | | | Audit | <u>a</u> | Intern | Munic ipal Progr amme |
| | | | actions 30 June 2025 | risk mitigations | implementation of | To ensure effective | | | | financial year | compliance within the | reporting and | To ensure monthly | June 2025 | requirements by 30 | reporting | management and | governance; financial | compliance to all | by ensuring | To attain Clean Audit | Measurable Objectives |
| | | | (Planning) | resolved | issues | % of Risk | month | days of each | within 7 | submitted | reports | Monthly | # of | | | | | (Planning) | resolved | issues | % of AG | Performanc e Indicator Title |
| | | | | | | % | | | | | | | # | | | | | | | | % | 30€ |
| | | | | | æ | Outcom | | | | | | | Output | | | | | | | | Output | KPI Concept |
| | | | | Planning | Manager : | Senior | | | | | Planning | Manager : | Senior | | | | | | Planning | Manager : | Senior | KPI Owner |
| | | | • | | | 30% | | | | | | | New | | | | | | | | 60% | Baseli ne |
| | | | | | | Quarterly | | | | | | | Monthly | | | | | | | | Quarterly | Reporting Category |
| | | | | | | 100% | | | | | | | 12 | | | | | | | | 100% | Annual Target |
| | | | | | ø | Cumulativ | | | | | | Alone | Stand- | | | | | | | Alone | Stand- | KPI Calculatio n⊤ype |
| | | | | | | 25% | | | | | | | w | | | | | | | - | 0 | O) |
| | | | | | | 50% | | | | | | | ω | | | | | | | | 0 | 25 Q1 Q2 Target Target |
| | | | | | | 75% | | , | | | | | ω | | | | | | | | 50% | O3 |
| | | | | | | 100% | | | | | | | ω | | | | | | | | 100% | Jun-26 Q4 Target |
| | | 7 | submitted | and POE | Risk issues | Resolved | | | submission | proof of | /Dated | reports | Monthly | | | | | submitted | POE's | issues and | Resolved AG | Source of Evidence |







3.2 KEY PERFORMANCE AREA 3: LOCAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

| <u></u> | <u> </u> | т | | | E Cost Tar Tar |
|--|---|---|---|---|--------------------------------|
| 50 6 7 | 4 0 | 30 m r | 20 6 7 | +0 == | 702 |
| Local Economic Developme nt | Local Economic Developme nt | Local Economic Developme nt | Local Economic Developme nt | Local Economic Developme nt | Municipal KPA |
| To promote economic sectors of the district | To promote economic sectors of the district | To promote economic sectors of the district | To promote economic sectors of the district | To improve community safety, health and social well-being | Strategic Objective |
| To ensure Promotion of local economy within the financial year | To ensure Promotion of local economy within the financial | To promote economic sector of the district | To promote economic sector of the district | To ensure sustainable livelihoods within the district | Measurable Objectives |
| ЕРWР | LED | EĐ | LED | ЕРWР | Munic ipal Progr amme |
| # of EPWP reports compiled and submitted to Council | # of SMME supported through LED | Review of LED strategy & approved by council by end of December | # of SEDA trainings conducted | # of jobs created through EPWP | Performance indicator Title |
| # | . # | # | # | # | ≅ 8 |
| Outco me | Outco me | Outco me | Outpu t | Outco me | Conce |
| Senior Manage r: Planning | Senior Manage r: Planning | Senior Manage r: Planning | Senior Manage r: Planning | Senior Manage r: Planning | Owner |
| 4 | 88 | 0 | 4 | 2500 | Baseline |
| Quarterly | Annually | Annually | Quarterly | Quarterly | Reporting Category |
| 4 | 100 | щ | 4 | 2 800 | Annual Target |
| Cumulativ e | Stand- Alone | Cumulativ e | Stand- Alone | Stand- Alone | ΚΡΙ Calculatio n Type |
| ь- | 20 | N/A | ₽ | 700 | Q1 Target |
| 1 | 20 | ь | 1 | 700 | Dec- 25 Q2 Target |
| · ; | 30 | N/A | 1 | 700 | Q3 Target |
| Ъ | 30 | N/A | 1 | 700 | Jun-26 Q4 Target |
| EPWP reports/Cou ncil resolution | Proof for SMME s supported | Council Resolution | Training reports | Proof of jobs created | Source of Evidence |







| 8 D E C | E D 7 | 6 D M C | → n z |
|---|---|---|--------------------------------|
| Local Economic Developme nt | Local Economic Developme nt | Local Economic Developme nt | Municipal KPA |
| To promote economic sectors of the district | To promote economic sectors of the district | To promote economic sectors of the district | Strategic Objective |
| To Coordinate the Exhibition pavilion for emerging local SMIMES in Exhibition | To promote tourism (INDABA)En gagements coordinated in the District | To ensure Coordinatio n of LED forums within the financial year | Measurable Objectives |
| LED | (E) | LED | Munic ipal Progr amme |
| # of Marketing initiatives coordinated | # of Toursim (INDABA)Engag ements coordinated in the District | # of LED District Forums coordinated | Performance Indicator Title |
| # | # | # | ∡ 5 |
| me | Outco me | me me | KPI Conce pt |
| Senior Manage r: Planning | Municip al Manage r | Senior Manage r: Planning | (KP) Owner |
| 4 | 0 | . 43 | Baseline |
| Monthly | Monthly | Annually | Reporting Category |
| 4 | | 4 | Annual Target |
| Stand alone | Operation al | Stand alone | KPI Calculation n Type |
| · | N/A | 1 | Q1 Target |
| 1 | N/A | L | Q1 Q2 Target Target |
| 1 | N/A | Н | Q3 Target |
| 1 | 1 | щ | Jun-26 Q4 Target |
| Proof for Marketing Initiatives coordinated | Attendance register & reports | Agenda, Minutes & Attendance register | Source of Evidence |



3.3 KEY PERFORMANCE AREA 4: MUNICIPAL FINANCIAL VIABILITY

| | | - 0 A |
|---|---|---|
| Y M and and government of the second | M and Y gov | 76.0 |
| To promote democracy and sound governance | To promote democracy and sound governance | Municipal KPA |
| To increase revenue generation and implement financial control systems | To Increase revenue generation and implement financial control systems | Strategic Objective |
| To effectively manage the financial affairs of the municipality within the financial year | To effectively manage the financial affairs of the municipality within the financial year | Measurable Objectives |
| Expendi ture Manage ment | Expendi ture Manage ment | Municip al Progra mme |
| % Departmental budget spent within the financial year | % Operational and maintenance budget spent as approved by Council within the financial year | Performance Indicator Title |
| % | % | Z o c |
| Output | Outcom e · | KPI Concept |
| Senior Manager: Planning | Senior Manager : Planning | Owner Owner |
| 100% | 77% | Baseli ne |
| Annually | Twice a year | Reporting Category |
| 100% Budget expenditu re | 100% Operation al Budget spent | Anhual Target |
| Stand- Alone | Cumulativ e | KPI Calculatio n Type |
| 25% | 25% | Q1 Target |
| 50% | 50% | Dec. Mar. Jun-26 25 26 Jun-26 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Target Target Target Target |
| 75% | 75% | Q3 Target |
| 100% | | |
| Expenditure report | Expenditure report | Source of Evidence |





3.4 KEY PERFORMANCE AREA 5: SPATIAL PLANNING

| | | | | | 4 | _ | D (| S | | | | | | Ų | ა - | - - | S | | | | | | | 2 | יס | s | Τ_ | | | | | J | , ~ | , , |) | | • | ב כ | 9 |
|-------------|--------|------------|------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------|-------------|------|------------|-----|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------|------|------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------|------|------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------|------------------------|------------------|--|----------------------|-----------------|-------------|------------|
| | | | | - | a | Nacional | Pational | Spatial | | | | | - | Œ | 10000 | Rational | Spatial | | | | | | | æ | Rational | Spatial | | | | | | o | Kational | Spatial | | | al KPA | Municip | |
| | | | 3 | Participatio | and Public | and Dublic | Governoo | Good | | | | 3 | Participatio | and Public | and Dublic | Governance | Good | | | | capabilities | menectual | intellectual | urial and | entreprene | To inculcate | | | | capabilities | intellectual | urial and | entreprene | To inculcate | | | Objective | Strategic | |
| development | land , | integrated | and | harmonious | optimal, | ontimal | containable | To have | development | land | integrated | and | narmonious | optimal, | ontimal | sustainable | To have | development | land | integrated | and | THAT I TOTAL COLL | hamonious | optimal. | sustainable, | To have | development | land | integrated | and | harmonious | optimal, | sustainable, | lo nave | 1 | | Objectives | Measurable | |
| | | | | | | r Jan Hilling | Diamaia | Spatial | | | | | | | | | GIS | | | | | | | | Planning | Spatial | | | | | | | Planning | Spatial | | mme | Progra | 0 | Municip |
| | - | | R700 000 | GLM) by 30 June 2026 | Kolopetona (Planning | Cowinship in Mariana | township in Managila | # To establish | | | | | tinancial year | system within the | reduces in the coo | Projects in the GIS | % in capturing | | | | | | Q | meetings coordinated | Planning Tribunal | # of Municipal | | | | days of receipt) | processed) within 90 | applications | received / of land use | %of applications | | | Indicator Title | Performance | |
| | | | | | _ | | = | # | | | | | | | | ; | % | | | | | | | | | # | | | | | | | | % | | | V | 5 ¢ | Ger Ger |
| | | | | | | σ | 9 000 | Outcom | | | | | | | | 1 | Output | | | | | | | | е | Outcom | | | | | | | | 100% | | | Concept | ð | |
| | | | c a | :Plannin | | INIGIIOSC | Managa | Senior | | | | ø | :Plannin | | Springer | Managa | Senior | | | | œ | :Plannin | | 7 | Manage | Senior | | | • | œ | :Plannin | - | Manage | Senior | | | Owner- | <u> </u> | |
| | | | | | | | | Vew | | | | | | | - 2 | ر ا | 100 | | | | | | | | | 8 | | | | | | | % | 100 | | đ | , (| 288 | |
| | | | | | | | | Quarterly | | | | | | | | | Monthly | | | | | | | | | Annually | | | | | | | | Quarterly | 100 | | Category | Reporting | |
| | | | | | | | , | 1 | | | | | | | | 1 | 100% | | | | | | | | | 4 | | | | | | | | 100% | 200 S 10 W 12 S 10 S | | Target | Annual | |
| | | | | | | Alogie | Alana | Stand- | | | | | | | 2 | Alone | Stand- | | | | | | | | Alone | Stand | | | - | | | | | 100% | | arth: H | Calculation | | |
| | | | | | | | i i | N/A | | | | | | | | | 25% | | | | | | ٠ | | | 1 | | | | | | | | 100% | Target | ç | 2 | | |
| | | | | | | | 3 | N/A | | | | | | | | | 50% | | | | | | | - | | 1 | | | | | | | | 100% | - | <u></u> | | Dec25 | 00t- |
| | | | | | | | 7 | N/A | | | | | | | | | 75% | | | | | | | | | ₽ | | | | | | | | 100% | ਼ੀ | 15, 58 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | 1000 | 100% | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | 100% | Target | ֚֝֝֞֝֝֞֟֝֝֩֝֞֝֩֞֝֩֞֝ | | 2 H | . Por |
| | | | | | Plan | ox General | Layouthair | Javout Plan | | | | | in the GIS | coordinates | project | project | List of | | | | | | 1 | Minute | Register, | Attendance | | | | | | | use register | Dated Land | | | Evidence | Source of | |





| രം വ | N G N | 0 Z |
|---|--|--|
| Spatial Rational e | Spatial Rational e | al (PA |
| Good Governance and Public Participatio n | Good Governance and Public Participatio n | Strategic Objective |
| To have sustainable, optimal, harmonious and integrated land development | To have sustainable, optimal, harmonious and integrated land development | Measurable Objectives |
| Spatial Planning | Spatial Planning | Municip al Progra mme |
| # To establish township in Meidingen (Planning GLM) by 30 June 2026 R700 000 | # To establish township in Maphalle Planning GLM) by 30 June 2026 R700 000 | Performance Indicator Title |
| # | # | . ₹ 0 € |
| Outcom e | Outcom e | M Concept |
| Senior Manage r :Plannin | Senior Manage r :Plannin g | Owner Owner |
| New | New | e Bas |
| Quarterly | Quarterly | Reporting Category |
| . ь | - | Annual Target |
| Stand- Alone | Stand- Alone | KPI Calculatio n Type |
| N/A | N/A | Q <u>1</u> Target |
| N/A | N/A | Oct. Dec25 Q2 Target |
| N/A | N/A | Oct. Dec25 Q1 Q2 Q3 Target Target Target |
| н | <u></u> | Apr- Jun- 26 24 Q4 Target |
| Layout Plan & General Plan | Layout Plan & General Plan | Source of Evidence |





3.5 KEY PERFORMANCE AREA 6: GOOD GOVERNANCE & PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |] | financial year. | | | |
|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------|---------|-------------|---------------------|-----------|--------------|---------------|---------|-----|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|------------|--------------|----------|
| | | | | | | | | | OTQ. | | | • | | within the | | n | |
| | | | | | | | _ | | :Plannin | | | Resolutions | | committees | governance | Participatio | S |
| Register | | | | | | | _ | | ٦ | | | of Portfolio | | of Portfolio | and sound | & Public | P |
| Resolutions | - | | | | | | _ | | Manage | O O | | Implementation | <u>6</u> | functionality | democracy | Governance | ഒ |
| Updated | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | Cumulative | 100% | Quarterly | 78% | Senior | Outcom | % | % in | Portfo | To ensure | To promote | Good | മ |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | financial year. | | | |
| | | | | | | | _ | | (P) | | | financial year | | within the | | 3 | |
| register | | | | | | | _ | | :Plannin | | | within the | | committees | governance | Participatio | 4 |
| attendance | | | | | | | | | 7 | | | meetings held | | of Portfolio | and sound | & Public | ٦ |
| Minutes & | | _ | | | | | | | Manage | æ | | committee | ö | functionality | democracy | Governance | മ |
| Agenda, | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | Stand-Alone | 00 | Annually | 00 | Senior | Outcom | # | # of Portfolio | Portfo | To ensure | To promote | Good | ດ |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | year | | | | | |
| | | _ | | | | | | | (CQ | | | the financial | | financial year. | | 5 | |
| register | | _ | | | | | | | :Plannin | | | attended within | ittee | within the | governance | Participatio | ω |
| attendance | | | | | | | | | ٦ | | | invited & | Comm | of MAYCO | and sound | & Public | 70 |
| Minutes & | | _ | | | | | | | Manage | | | meetings | <u>a</u> | functionality | democracy | Governance | ଦ |
| Agenda, | 2 | 3 | 1 | <u></u> | Stand-Alone | 7 | Monthly | 13 | Senior | Output | # | # of MAYCO | Mayor | To ensure | To promote | Good | ଦ |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | financial year. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 004 | | | | | within the | | 3 | - |
| | | | | | | | | • | :Plannin | | | Resolutions | | committee | governance | Participatio | 2 |
| Register | | | | | | | | | 7 | | | of Council | | of Council | and sound | & Public | ש |
| Resolutions | | | | | | | | | Manage | e | | Implementation | = | functionality | democracy | Governance | ଦ |
| Updated | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | Stand-Alone | 100% | Monthly | New | Senior | Outcom | % | %in | Counc | To ensure | To promote | Good | മ |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | year | | financial year. | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 0°4 | | | the financial | | within the | | 5 | |
| | | | | | | | | • | :Plannin | • | | attended within | | committee | governance | Participatio | Ь |
| | | | | | | | | | 7 | | | invited & | | of Council | and sound | & Public | P |
| register | | | | | | | | | Manage | Ф | | Meetings | == | functionality | democracy | Governance | മ |
| Attendance | 2 | ω | ш | ם | Stand Alone | 7 | Annually | 13 | Senior | Outcom | # | # of Council | Counc | To ensure | To promote | Good | മ |
| Evidence | Ц4 Target | U3 Target | Target | Target | Type | Target | Category | œ | Owner | Concept | 3 | Indicator Hitle | Progr amme | Ubjectives | Objective | *** | |
| Source of | | 3 | 25 | | Calculation | 95 S 16 S 7 S | Reporting | elin | ` ≙ | · 至 | : 5 | Performance | 큠 | Measurable | Strategic | Municipal | n ; |
| | Jun-26 | | Dec- | | • | | | 00 9 9 | | | | | Munic | | | | Z |







| 0 1 | ם פי | 6 | | 9 | 70 6 | າ | 1 | | 8 | ים | <u> </u> | <u>.</u> | <u> </u> | | 7 | P (| คค | | 6 | 70 | <u></u> | ച | -+ 0 20 |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|----------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------------------|
| n n | - | Good | 5 | | & Public | | | 5 | | | | Good | | | | | Good | 5 | Participatio | | | Good | Municipa) KeA |
| governance | democracy and sound | To promote | | governance | and sound | To promote | | | governance | and sound | democracy | To promote | | c | governance | and sound | To promote | | governance | and sound | democracy | To promote | Strategic Objective |
| administratio n | functionality of | To ensure | of the Municipalities | in the affairs | involvement | To ensure | financial year. | within the | structures | of IGR | functionality | To ensure | financial year. | within the | structures | of IGR | To ensure | within the financial year. | structures | of IGR | functionality | To ensure | Measurable Objectives |
| ittee | geme nt | Mana | | 7 | pation | Public | | | | | | କ୍ଲ | | | | | Ğ | | | | | ହ୍ଲ | Munic ipal Progr |
| attended within the financial year | Management meetings | # of | attended within the financial year | invited & | Meetings | # of Public | | | Resolutions | of IGR | Implementation | % in | year | the financial | attended within | invited & | # of IGR | | financial year | held within the | IGR meetings | # of Technical | Performance indicator Title |
| | | # | | | | # | | | | | | % | | • | | | # | | | | | # | ≥ 5. |
| , | ro. | Outcom | | | | Output | | | | (| TD | Outcom | | | | (| Outcom | | | | n | Outcom | Concept |
| g g | Manage r | Senior | (PQ | :Plannin | r | Senior | | 0 72 | :Plannin | 7 | Manage | Senior | 0 | ra : | :Plannin | 7 1011000 | Senior | . CPQ | :Plannin | 7 | Manage | Senior | Owner |
| | | 14 | | | | 10 | | | | | | 70% | | | | | 4 | | | | | 4 | e elin |
| | • | Monthly | | | | Monthly | | | | | | Quarterly | | | · | | Quarterly | | | | | Quarterly | Reporting Category |
| | | 12 | | | | 10 | | | | | | 100% | | | | | 4 | | | | | 4 | Amnua Target |
| | | Stand alone | - | | | Cumulative | | | | | | Stand alone | | | | | Stand alone | | | | | Stand alone | KPI Calculation Type |
| | | ω | | | | N/A | | | | | | 100% | | | | | ⊢ ~ | | | | | 1 | Target |
| | | ω | | | | N/A | | | | | | 100% | | | | | 1 | | | | | 1 | Dec. 25 Q2 |
| | | 3 | | | | N/A | | | | | | 100% | | | | | 1 | | | | | 1 | Q3 |
| | | 3 | | | | 10 | | | | | | 100% | | | | | ъ | | | | | | Jun- z 6 |
| register | Minutes & attendance | Agenda, | | Minutes | Attendance Register | | | | C | Register | Resolutions | Updated | | 0.00 | register | attendance | Agenda, | | register | attendance | Minutes & | Agenda, | Source of Evidence |



| | | | | | | | | | | | | inanciai year | | | | | |
|-------------|--------|--------|--------|------------|---------------|--------|-------------|-----|------------|----------|------|-----------------|---------|----------------|------------|--------------|-----|
| | | | | | | | | | • | | | | | year | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | within the | | Vest | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | attended | | a financial | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | • | | | invited & | | review within | | | |
| | _ | | | | | | | | Œ | | | meetings | | IDP/Budget | | 5 | S |
| | | | | | | | | | :Plannin | | | Committee | | in the | governance | Participatio | Ъ |
| | | | | | | | | | 7 | | | PMS Steering | pation | involvement | and sound | & Public | Р |
| register | | | | | | | | | Manage | ••• | | IDP/Budget/ | Partici | public | democracy | Governance | മ |
| Attendance | 2 | 1 | ы | 1-2 | Stand-Alone | σ | Annually | Ų, | Senior | Output | # | #of | Public | To ensure | To promote | Good | മ |
| - | | | | | | | | | | | | year | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | the financial | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | **** | attended within | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | œ | | | invited & | - | review | | 3 | 4 |
| - | | | | | | | | | :Plannin | | | meetings | | in the IDP | governance | Participatio | Ь |
| | | | | | | | | | 7 | | | PMS REP Forum | pation | involvement | and sound | & Public | ס |
| register | | | | | | | | | Manage | | | IDP/Budget/ | Partici | public | democracy | Governance | വ |
| Attendance | 2 | ы | Ь. | | Stand-Alone | 55 | Quarterly | ر. | Senior | Output | # | # of | Public | To ensure | To promote | Good | മ |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | financial year | | financial year | | | |
| | | | | | | | | • | 079 | | - | within the | | within the | | 3 | ω |
| | | | | | | | | • | :Plannin | | | resolutions | ons | Municipality | governance | Participatio | ב |
| register | | | | | | | | | 7 | | | of LF | Relati | of | and sound | & Public | 7 |
| Resolutions | | | | | | | | | Manage | rt) | | implementation | 7 | functionality | democracy | Governance | മ |
| Updated | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | Cumulative | 100% | Monthly | 69% | Senior | Outcom | % | % in | noqeT | To ensure | To promote | Good | G |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | year | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | • | ďQ | | | the financial | | financial year | | 3 | 2 |
| register | | | | | | | | | :Plannin | | | attended within | ONS | within the | governance | Participatio | Р |
| attendance | | | | | | | | | 7 | | | invited & | Relati | of Council | and sound | & Public | 70 |
| Minutes & | | | | | | | | | Manage | rD. | | meetings | 7 | functionality | democracy | Governance | മ |
| Agenda, | ω | 3 | ω. | 3 | Stand-Alone | 12 | Monthly | 13 | Senior | Outcom | # | # of LLF | Labou | To ensure | To promote | Good | ര |
| | | | | | | | | | (| | | financial year | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 370 | | | within the | ittee | 3 | | 5 | ь. |
| , | | | | | | | | | :Plannin | | | Resolutions | comm | administratio | governance | Participatio | Н |
| register | | | | | | | | | 7 | | ~ | of MANCO | 큐 | of | and sound | & Public | 7 |
| Resolutions | | | | | | | | | Manage | | | implementation | geme | functionality | democracy | Governance | മ |
| Updated | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | Stand-Alone | 100% | Quarterly | New | Senior | Output | # | % in | Mana | To ensure | To promote | Good | 6 |
| | Target | Target | Target | Target | Type | larget | | e | | (大) | 20 | | amme | | | | |
| Evidence | 2 | 03 | 22 | 2 | - calculation | | Category | ein | Owner | Concept | ₹. | Indicator Title | Progr | Objectives | Objective | ΚPA | , a |
| Source of | Jun-26 | | 25 | | S | Annua | Reporting | Bas | KP. | 3 | 5 | Performance | g g | Measurable | Strategic | Municipal | 20 |
| | | | 9 | n.com | | | | | · 有数 | | | | Munic | | | | |







| Manage Washington Washing | | | | | | | | | | | | | within the | | | |
|---|------|------------|------------------|---------------|-------|-------------|-----|------------|---------|---|-----------------|---------|----------------|------------|--------------|------------|
| Municipal Strietage Measurable ipi Petrimanes OD Republic Strietage Manage Scholars Title Title Scholars Title Ti | | | | | | | | | | | | | and reporting | | | |
| Municipal Serinage (Messinish projective Messinish projective Governance democracy committee Participatio governance democracy Generality Participatio governance democracy Generality Dation (Planning) | | | | | | | | | | | | | ,financial | | | |
| Muntclear Strategy Committee (South Municipality (Specimen Municipal | | | | | | | | | | | | | governance | | | |
| Munticipal Strategic Measurable (plai indicator Titge (proper) (pr | | | | | | , | | 970 | | | | | <u>a</u> | | 3 | 9 |
| Municipality Strategic Measurable (ba) (ba) (ba) (ba) (ba) (ba) (ba) (ba) | | | | | | | | :Plannin | | | working days | | compliance to | governance | Participatio | 1 |
| Municipal Strategic Messyirabile (page 1) Pérformance (page 1) Pérforman | | | | | | | | 7 | | | within 3 | | ensuring | and sound | & Public | ט |
| Municipal Strategic Messirable (physical property) Municipal Strategic Messirable (physical property) Municipal Strategic Messirable (physical property) Municipal (physical property) Republic and sound democracy Racionality Republic and sound (physical participation (physical participation) Republic and sound (physical participation) Republic (physical participation) | | | | | | | , | Manage | | | responded to | | clean audit by | democracy | Governance | മ |
| Munitipal Striatety West Poor India Striatety P | 0 | 100% | _ | Stand-Alone | 100% | Quarter | New | Senior | Output | % | % of COMAF | Audit | To attain | To promote | Good | മ |
| Munitable Stratete Measurable that Performance WA Concept Strate Manage Governance Governance Governance Committee Raticipatio governance In promote To promote To promote Committee Raticipatio governance In Committee Raticipatio governance Raticipatio governa | | | | | | | | | | | | | financial year | | | |
| Municipal Repetition Strategic Measurable (Dipertives) Image: Programme (Dipertives) Unity (Dipertives) Programme (Dipertives) Programme (Dipertives) Accountability (Planning) Frogramme (Dipertives) Accountability (Planning) Programme (Dipertives) Output (Planning) Senior (Dipertives) Output (Planning) Manage (Dipertives) Senior (Dipertives) Output (Dipertives) <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>within the</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<> | | | | | | | | | | | | | within the | | | |
| Munitipal Strategit (Passirable III) (Pariformance WA) (Passirable III) (Pariformance III) (Pariformance Governance Gover | | | | | | | | | | | | | requirements | | | |
| Municipal Stratégic Measurable Up Refromance Up Key Content Content (Content Content) (Content) | | | | | | | | | | | | | and reporting | | | |
| Municipal KPA Strategic Deletives (Objectives) Weasyinable (Objective Senior Report (Objective Senior Report) (DO) (Note) (N | | | | | | | | | • | | | | management | | | |
| Municipal Strategic Measurable Progr. Indicator Tittle M. Concept Conc | | | | | | | | | | | | | ,financial | | | |
| Municipal KRPA Strategic Cobjective Measurable Progr Inal Invited for Informatic Sound Inal Invited for Informatic Sound KP) Commente Sound KP) Collication Floring Within the Commente Sound Within the Invited for Invited for Sound Measurablist Medicator Title Measurablist Medicator Title Medicator Title Concepts Manage Senior Manage 100 Quarterly Manage Quarterly Manage 100% Stand-Alone 100% <td></td> <td>governance</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | | | | | | | | | | | | | governance | | | |
| Municipal kRPA Strategic Objectives Measurable platic Promote Sovermance Ipal Objectives Program Promote Promote Sovermance Program Promote Promote Sovermance Via Objectives Program Promote Pro | | | | | | | | gq | | | | | all | | 5 | 00 |
| Municipal KKPA Strategic Objective Measurable Objectives Ipal Progr Progr Progr Fuget Prefrommence Inflicator Title UO Medicator Title KPI Medicator Title WPI Medicator Title MV Concept Medicator Title VOI Medicator Title MV Concept Medicator Title MV Medicator Title MV | | | | | | | | :Plannin | | | working days | | compliance to | governance | Participatio | 1 |
| Municipal KPA Strategic Objective Measurable Objectives Ipal Ipal Promote Promote Sovernance Ipal Objectives Prepriories Promote Promote Participation Name Uo Objectives Promote Promote Promote Participation Name Web Objectives Promote Promote Promote Participation Name Measurable Promote Promote Participation Name Web Output Promote Promote Participation Name Senior Promote Promote Promote Promote Promote Participation Name Uo Objectives Promote P | | | | | | | | - | | | within 3 | | ensuring | and sound | & Public | 70 |
| Municipal KRPA Strategic Objective Weasurable Objective Measurable Progr Progr Progr Amme Preformance Indicator Title U/O Manage KPI Ouner Fericipatio V/O Ouner Senior Fericipatio V/O Ouner Senior Fericipatio Manage Fericipatio Manag | | | | | | | | Manage | | | responded to | | clean audit by | democracy | Governance | G |
| Municipal KPA Strategic Objectives Measurable Progr Indicator Title Indicator Title W. Concept Concept Concept Owner Calculation ein Annua Calculation Category Annua Calculation Category Annua Calculation Category Annua Calculation Q1 Q2 Q3 Good R-Public R-Participatio To promote and sound Rovernance R-Public R-Participatio To ensure functionality Committee Foresofted Within a mandsound Rovernance R-Public R-Participatio To ensure functionality Committee Foresofted Participatio # of Audit Reporting Participatio Reporting Reporti | 0 | 100% | | Stand-Alone | 100% | Quarterly | New | Senior | Output | % | % of RFI | Audit | To attain | To promote | Good | മ |
| Municipal Strategic Measurable (Objectives Piogr Indicator Title) (M) Concept (Objective Spring Sovernance Good Republic and sound a participation of Epublic and sound of Committee (Objective Spring) (Indicator Title) (Indicator | | | | | | | | | | | year | | | | | |
| Municipal Strategic Viesurable Policitives Programmers | | | | | | | | | | | the financial | | financial year | | | |
| Municipal Strategic Measurable Ipal Ipal Objectives Programme Objectives Programme Indicator Title M. Concept Objective Programme Indicator Title M. Concept Indicator I | | | | | | | | σq | | _ | attended within | | within a | | 3 | 7 |
| Municipal Strategic Measurable Ipal Ipal Performance Comments Public no formation and sound Sound Strategic Objectives Sharing Comments (Planning) Strategic Objectives Sharing (Planning) Sharing (P | | | | | | | | :Plannin | | | invited & | | committee | governance | Participatio | Н |
| Municipal Strategic Measurable Ipal Performance VO KPI Concept M C | | | | | | | | ~ | ···· | | meetings | | of Audit | and sound | & Public . | 70 |
| Municipal Strategic Measurable ipal Performance VO KPI Carcept Owner elin Category Target Tar | | | | | | | | Manage | | | Committee | ittees | functionality | democracy | Governance | <u></u> |
| Municipal Strategic Measurable Ipal Performance U.O. KPI Concept Objectives Progr Indicator Title M. Concept Objectives Concept Objective Concept Obj | 2 | د ر | 2 | Stand-Alone | 7 | Quarterly | 11 | Senior | Output | # | # of Audit | Comm | To ensure | To promote | Good | 0 |
| Municipal Strategic Measurable Ipal Performance U.O. KPI Self Seporting Self Self Self Self Self Self Self Self | | | | | | | | 070 | | | | | | | 3 | 6 |
| Municipal Strategic Measurable Ipal Performance U.O. KPI KPI Bas Reporting Performance W. Concept Owner Book Progr Indicator Title W. Concept Owner Book Progr Target Reporting Public Rounds and sound within the pation Pation Planning) Report Transport Rounds Republic Strategic Annual Strategic Public Rounds Reporting Annual Reporting Partici Resolved Rounds Republic Rounds Report Repo | | | • | | | | | :Plannin | | | | | municipality | governance | Participatio | ב |
| Municipal Strategic Measurable Ipal Performance U.O. KPI KPI Summer elim Category Category Faiget Type Target Target Target Target Target Governance democracy accountability Partici resolved Manage Manage Manage W.P. Category Category Faiget Manage Manag | | | | | | | | ٦ | | | (Planning) | pation | within the | and sound | & Public | ט־ |
| Municipal Strategic Measurable Ipal Performance U.O. KPI KPI ellin Category Findicator IIIIe W. Concept Owner e To promote Public % of complaints % Output Senior 100 Quarterly 100% Stand-Alone 100% 100% 100% | | | | | | | % | Manage | | | resolved | Partici | accountability | democracy | Governance | ഒ |
| Municipal Strategic Measurable Ipal Performance UO KPI KPI Bas Reporting Annua Calculation Q1 Q2 Q3. KPA Objective Objectives Progr Indicator Title M Concept Owner e Target Target Target Target Target Target | | | | | 100% | Quarterly | 100 | Senior | Output | | % of complaints | Public | To promote | To promote | Good | മ |
| Municipal Strategic Measurable Ipal Performance UO KPI KPI elin Category Calculation Q1 Q2 Q3 | خثنا | | (0.00) (0.00) | | 1500 | | đ | | | | | amme | | | | (· |
| Municipal Strategic Measurable Ipal Performance UO KPI KPI Reporting Annua KPI Columnia 25 | | | | - Calculation | N. 35 | Category | , 4 | Owner | Concept | 3 | Indicator Title | Progr | Objectives | Objective | KPA | 4 a |
| | | | | | 700 | Reporting | | ð | \$ | 8 | Performance | | Measurable | Strategic | Municipal | , |





| ωι | 2 2 6 6 | 22 P G G | -×7000 | 02 7 9 9 9 | + 0 2 |
|---|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--------------------------------|
| | Governance & Public | | Good Governance & Public Participation | Good Governance & Public Participatio n | Municipal KPA |
| g CVC I I III I C | democracy and sound | democracy and sound governance | To promote democracy and sound governance | To promote democracy and sound governance | Strategic Objective |
| within a financial year | functionality of Audit | lo ensure functionality of Audit committee within a financial year | To ensure functionality of Council committee within the financial year | To attain clean audit by ensuring compliance to all governance financial management and reporting requirements within the financial year | Measurable Objectives |
| | Audit | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | MPAC | Audit | Munic ipal Progr |
| submitted to internal Audit within 5 days of requests | Audit Committee | # Number of performance information reports submitted to PMS unit within 5 days of requests | % in implementation of MPAC Resolutions within the financial year | % of Audit steering committee attended | Performance Indicator Title |
| | # | # | % | % | 3 5 |
| | Output | Output | Output | Output | KPI Concept |
| 2 PTC | Senior Manage r | Senior Manage r :Plannin g | Senior Manage r :Plannin | Senior Manage r :Plannin g | KP! Owner |
| | New | New | %00 %00 | New | Bas elin |
| | Quarterly | | Annually | Quarterly | - Reporting Category |
| | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | Annua I Target |
| | Stand-Alone | Stand-Alone | Stand-Alone | Stand-Alone | Kpl Calculation Type |
| | T00% | 100% | 100% | 100% | Q1 Target |
| | 700% | 100% | 100% | 100% | Dec- 25 Q2 |
| | | 100% | 100% | 0 | Target |
| | 100% | 100% | 100% | 0 | Jun-26 Q4 Target |
| yubilibalui. | Reports /Dated proof of | Dated proof of submission | Updated Resolutions register | Dated Proof of submission | Source of Evidence |







| | | | | | | | | Modern Service (1 |
|----------------|------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | | 4 | 2 | Ţ | െ | മ | ** 0 20 |
| | | | 3 | Participatio | & Public | Governance | Good | Municipal KPA |
| | | | | governance | and sound | democracy | To promote | Strategic Objective |
| | | financial year | within a | committee | of Audit | functionality | To ensure | Measurable Objectives |
| | | | | | | ittees | Comm | Munic ipal Progr |
| financial year | within the | implemented | resolutions | Committee | Audit | Performance | % of Audit and | Performance Indicator Title |
| | | | | | | | % | ₹ 5 |
| | | | | | | | Output | M Concept |
| | | | 0'0 | :Plannin | 7 | Manage | Senior | Cwner |
| | | | | | | | 38% | Bas elin |
| | | | | | | | Quarterly | Reporting Category |
| | | | | | | | 100% | Annua Target |
| | | | | | | | 100% Stand-Alone | KPI Calculation Type |
| | | | | | | | 100% | Q1 |
| | | | | | | | 100% | Dec- 25 02 Target |
| | | | | | | | 100% | Q3 Target |
| | | | | | | | 100% | Jun-26 Q4 Target |
| | • | | | register | resolutions | Committee | Audit | Source of Evidence |

4. CORE COMPETENCY REQUIREMENTS

The core competencies the employee should conform to and will be assessed and evaluated against follows:

| 5% | Project/Programme Planning; Execution and Reporting | Must be able to plan, manage, monitor and evaluate specific activities in order to deliver the desired outputs | Programme and Project Management |
|----------|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| | Execution; Strategic Planning; Governance and Management Frameworks | organisation and inspire others in order to deliver on the organisational mandate | Leadership |
| 10% | Annual Performance Reporting; Lead People; Strategy and Task | Must be able to provide a vision, set the direction for the | Strategic Capability and |
| WEIGHT % | DIMENSIONS MEASURED | DEFINITION | CORE MANAGERIAL COMPETENCIES |





| 15% | High standard; Ethical and Moral Conduct; Confidentiality and Trust; Treat everyone with Respect; Responsible and Accountable; Shares information freely; Acts against Corruption | Must be able to display and build the highest standards of ethical and moral conduct in order to promote confidence and trust in the Public Service. | Honesty and integrity |
|----------|---|--|--|
| 10% | Information and Ideas; Stakeholder Communication; Communication Strategy; Marketing and Branding; Use of Language; Negotiation and Bargaining | Must be able to exchange information and ideas in a clear and concise manner appropriate for the audience in order to explain, persuade, convince and influence others to achieve the desired outcomes | Communication |
| 15% | Application of Batho-Pele Principles; Service Delivery knowledge; Coaching and Mentoring Others; Cost, Time, Budget, Quality, and Targets Consciousness | Must be willing and able to deliver services effectively and efficiently in order to put the spirit of customer service (Batho Pele) into practice | Client Orientation and Customer Focus |
| 5% | Employee Relations; Diversity Management; HR Planning: Management and Development | Must be able to manage and encourage people, optimise their outputs and effectively manage relationships in order to achieve organisational goals | People Management and Empowerment |
| 10% | Identify, Analyse and Resolve Problems in timely manner; Contingency Plans and Anticipate Problems | Must be able to systematically identify, analyse and resolve existing and anticipated problems in order to reach optimum solutions in a timely manner | Problem Solving and Analysis |
| 5% | Innovation; Processes, Policy and Structures; Application of Best Practice | Must be able to explore and implement new ways of delivering services that contribute to the improvement of organisational processes in order to achieve organisational goals | Service Delivery Innovation |
| 5% | Knowledge and Learning; Technology Usage; Knowledge Strategies; Information Sharing Sessions; Integration of Knowledge and Partnership Development | Must be able to promote the generation and sharing of knowledge and learning in order to enhance the collective knowledge of the organisation | Knowledge Management |
| 5% | Change Vision, Planning and Strategy; Process Improvement; Organisation Design; Policy Change and Execution; Change Results; Impact Monitoring and Evaluation | Must be able to initiate and support organisational transformation and change in order to successfully implement new initiatives and deliver on service delivery commitments | Change Management |
| 15% | Financial Planning: MFMA, MTEF and MTSF; Financial Budget Execution and Reporting (in Year Monitoring and Reporting) AG Report | Must be able to compile and manage budgets, control cash flow, institute risk management and administer tender procurement processes in accordance with generally recognised financial practices in order to ensure the achievement of strategic organisational objectives | Financial Management |
| WEIGHT % | DIMENSIONS MEASURED | DEFINITION | COMPETENCIES |





More details related to each competency are attached as Annexure A1 to this plan.





SUMMARY SCORECARD

In terms of Regulation 805 of 2106, the employee will be scored on a ratio of 80% for key performance areas (KPAs) and 21% for core competency requirements (CCRs). It is also required that weightings amongst KPIs and projects where relevant. A summary of the total weightings are indicated below: the KPAs relevant to the employee's functions also be weighted in terms of importance out of a total of 100%, contributing to the 80% contribution to KPAs. It is also necessary to allocate

| 100% | | | | Total |
|---------------------|------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---|
| 20% | | | | Core Competency Requirements (CCRs) |
| 80% | 100% | KPI's | 30% | Spatial Planning |
| | 100% | KPI's | 20% | Good Governance and Public Participation |
| | 100% | KPI's | 10% | Municipal Financial Viability |
| | 100% | KPI's | 30% | Local Economic Development |
| 2 | 0% | KPI's | 0% | Basic Service Delivery |
| | 100% | KPI's | 10% | Municipal Transformation and Organisational Development |
| | | | | Key Performance Areas |
| ASSESS WEIGHTING | TWEIGHTING | KPI / PROJECT WEIGHTING | KPA WEIGHTINGS | POSITION OUTCOMES/OUTPUTS |



RATING SCALES

The assessment of the performance of the Employee will be based on the following rating scale for KPAs and CCRs:

| Level | Terminology | Description |
|-------|-----------------------|---|
| 5 | Outstanding | Performance far exceeds the standard expected of an employee at this level. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved above fully |
| | performance | effective results against all performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance plan and maintained this in all areas of responsibility throughout the year. |
| 4 | Performance | Performance is significantly higher than the standard expected in the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved above fully |
| | significantly above | effective results against more than half of the performance criteria and indicators and fully achieved all others throughout the year. |
| ယ | Fully effective | Performance fully meets the standards expected in all areas of the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has fully achieved effective |
| | | results against all significant performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance Plan. |
| 2 | Performance not fully | Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job. The |
| | effective | review/assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against more than half the key performance criteria and |
| | | indicators as specified in the PA and Performance Plan. |
| 1 | Unacceptable | Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The review/assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully |
| | performance | effective results against almost all of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance Plan. The employee has failed |
| | | to demonstrate the commitment or ability to bring performance up to the level expected in the job despite management efforts to encourage |
| | | improvement. |



PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT PROCESS

ò

The following steps will be followed to ensure a fully participative and compliant performance assessment process is adhered to

- Performance Assessment:
- Formal assessment between employee and employer will take place twice a year to measure the performance of the employee against the agreed performance targets for the half yearly and yearly assessments respectively.
- 1.2. Actual performance against the targets will be captured in preparation for the assessments.
- 1.3. Scores of 1-5 will be calculated based upon the progress against targets.
- .4. KPI's and targets are audited before assessment date and their findings must accompany the Performance Plans
- 1.5. The employer must keep a record of the mid-year assessment and annual assessment meetings.
- 'n The employee being assessed will compile a portfolio of evidence confirming the level of performance achieved for a given assessment period and made available to the Panel on request. One independent person may be assigned to act as an Observer.
- The process for determining Employee ratings are as follows:
- 3.1. The panel to rate the achievement for the KPI's on a 5-point scale. Decimal places can be used
- The employee to motivate for higher ratings where applicable.
- ij The panel to rate the employee's core competency requirements (CCR) on the 5-point scale. Decimal places can be used
- 3,4 The panel scores are averaged to derive at a total score per KPI / Activity / CCR. Overall scores are calculated by taking weightings into account where applicable.
- The final KPA's rating will account for 80% of the final assessment total. The CCR's are to account for 21% of the final assessment total
- 4. The five-point rating scale referred to in regulation 805 correspond as follows:
- Rating:
- 1 2 3 4 5 0-66 67-99 100-132 133-166 167
- The assessment rating calculator is used to calculate the overall % score for performance.
- ò Annual performance evaluation to determine the final ratings and scores as well as recommend performance bonuses will be conducted by the appropriate panel as constituted by Regulation 805 of 2106.
- The performance bonus percentages described in the performance agreement will be calculated on a sliding scale of the all-inclusive remuneration package as indicated in table

| nus 496 | G-025 | 1996 ES | 18 |
|------------|---|----------------|--|
| nus 496 | | 337.0 | 34.3 |
| nus 496 | | | |
| nus 496 | E STATE OF | | 45 |
| nus 496 | | See A | |
| nus 496 | E. Complete | 3.15 | 1000 |
| nus 496 | 100 | 44 | N. 69.2 |
| nus 496 | | - E | 67 |
| nus 496 | | 82.5 | Web. |
| nus 496 | | 200 | |
| nus 496 | | | |
| nus 496 | 100 | 2 | iso 🖖 |
| nus 496 | | 28.0 | |
| nus 496 | 0.50 | | |
| nus 496 | 2000 | 8000058 | 1 |
| nus 496 | 300 | * C | |
| nus 496 | 200 | | 4190 |
| nus 496 | 34.23. | | |
| nus 496 | 200 | | 1 |
| nus 496 | 2000 | 17547 | |
| nus 496 | 37.2 | *** | - 92 |
| nus 496 | | | 70 |
| nus 496 | 35 No.4 | | |
| nus 496 | | | 3 |
| nus 496 | 39 50 | 24 S. | OQ. |
| nus 496 | | | . 0 |
| nus 496 | 0 | - 5 | |
| nus 496 | 1 5 | 4 | Sylventic |
| nus 496 | 0, | | |
| nus 496 | - o | 20 | <u>0</u> |
| nus 496 | | 600 | |
| nus 496 | 13.5 | | 24 |
| nus 496 | (P) | 1 P | 3 |
| nus 496 | | | ō |
| nus 496 | THE STATE OF | 整线 | ////////////////////////////////////// |
| nus 496 | 100 | 數益 | n) |
| nus 496 | 用機 | | |
| nus 496 | | | |
| nus 496 | The same | | |
| nus 86 | | | |
| nus 86 | | 影響 | 74 F + |
| nus 86 | | 2. 1 | 3976 |
| nus 86 | | 美宝 | |
| nus 86 | 25.00 | 70.9 | "(6)"。 |
| nus 86 | 4 | 5.00 | 40.6 |
| nus 86 | 100 | | 7.5.0 |
| nus 86 | 14.364 | | Out. |
| nus 86 | 44.00 | 5 | |
| nus 86 | 建物企业 | 4 g | |
| nus 86 | 200 | | |
| nus 86 | | | 100 |
| nus 86 | 15.70 | 秦等 | 200 |
| nus 86 | | 60.2 | .,e.i |
| nus 86 | 1.00 | | |
| nus 86 | | | |
| nus 86 | 100 | 84.50c | tite. |
| nus 86 | 34.25 | 34.75s | Siles |
| nus 86 | 3. 3. | SLATE Shows | SILET SALE |
| nus 86 | | | |
| nus 86 | \$ 65 65 6 8 | | |
| nus 86 | 等点 运作 | | |
| nus 496 | | | |
| nus 496 | | | |
| nus 496 | | | |
| nus 496 | 3 3 3 3 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 | | |
| nus 496 | | | |
| nus 496 | | | |
| nus 496 | | | (1) (1) (2) (2) (2) (3) |
| nus 496 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) |
| nus 496 | 34 A | | |
| nus 496 | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| nus 496 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| nus 496 | | | |
| nus 496 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| nus 496 | | | |
| nus 496 | | | A STATE OF THE STA |
| nus 496 | 10 | 5 | <u>%</u> € |
| .15 6 | 10-1 | 5.9 | |
| | 10-14 | Sec. 15. | |
| | 10-14% | Sec. 15. | Ĭ |
| | 10-14% | . | Sunc |
| | 8 | * | Sunc |
| | ** | * | onus |
| | 8 | * | Snuc |
| | 8 | * | snuc |
| | 8 | * | onus |
| | 8 | * | onus |
| | 8 | * | onus |
| | % | | onus |
| | | | onus |

- development needs for the relevant person will be. The Personal Development Plan (PDP) can be reviewed after the performance evaluation had been finalised in case where more clarity has been established on what the essential
- The results of the annual performance evaluation will be submitted to the performance audit committee for final approval of the assessment/s /evaluations
- Performance bonus, based upon the annual evaluation, will be subject to approval by Council.
- The performance evaluation results of the Municipal Manager will also be submitted to the MEC responsible for Local Government in the Province

25



APPROVAL

on the objectives, to build sound relationships, to develop human capital and to strengthen the organisation through excellent performance. This plan has derived from intense workshopping to ensure integration, motivation and self-direction. The employer and employee both have responsibilities and accountabilities in getting value from this plan. Neither party can succeed without the support of the other. The process followed ensures individual alignment to the strategic intent of the institution and gives clear direction on what needs to be achieved through a self-directed approach to execute

| DATE: 07 07 2021 | Isman Janes | Signed and accepted by the Employee: | Undertaking of the employee I herewith confirm that I understand the strategic importance of my position within the broader organisation. I furthermore confirm that I understand the purpose of my position, as well as the criteria on which my performance will be evaluated twice annually. As such, I therefore commit to do my utmost to live up to these expectations and to serve the organisation, my superiors, my colleagues and the community with loyalty, integrity and enthusiasm at all times. I hereby confirm and accept the conditions to this plan. |
|------------------|-------------|---|--|
| DATE: 4/07/2025 | 4 J | Signed and accepted on behalf of Council: | Undertaking of the employer On behalf of my organisation, I undertake to ensure that a work environment conducive for excellent employee performance is established and maintained. As such, I undertake to lead to the best of my ability, communicate comprehensively, and empower managers and employees. Employees will have access to ongoing learning, will be coached, and will clearly understand what is expected of them. I herewith approve this Performance Plan. |



ANNEXURE A1 - CORE MANAGERIAL COMPETENCIES DETAILS The details pertaining to the Core Managerial Competencies follows:



| | Financial Management |
|---|--|
| financial accounting and reporting concepts;* Performs key financial management processes (expenditure, accounting and reporting) with guidance/direction;* Tracks and measures actual expenditure against budget; and* Understands the role of an audit function. | • Articulates basic financial concepts and techniques as they relate to organisational/departmental processes and tasks (e.g. performance budgeting and value for money);• Displays awareness of the different sources of financial data, reporting mechanisms and financial processes and systems;• Understands importance of financial accountability;• Understands the necessity for accountability;• Understands the necessity for accountability. |
| to established goals and objectives; Manages expenditure in relation to cash flow projections; Ensures effective utilisation of financial resources; Develops corrective measures/actions to ensure alignment of budget to financial resources; Prepares and manages own budget in line with the strategic objectives of the organisation; and | • Demonstrates knowledge of general concepts of financial planning, budgeting and forecasting and how they interrelate; • Assesses, manages and monitors financial risks; • Prepares financial reports based on prescribed format; • Understands and weighs up financial implications of propositions; • Controls assets according to prescribed policies and procedures; • Understands, analyses and procedures; • Understands, analyses and |
| systems, procedures and processes in order to improve financial management; • Advises on policies and procedures regarding asset control; • Dynamically allocates resources according to internal and external objectives (broader government objectives); • Develops expenditure Key Performance Indicators (KPIs); • Succeeds in achieving maximum results with limited resources; • Assists others with financial accounting/reporting tasks; • Coaches and teaches others on key financial concepts; and • Analyses projections in reports. | Takes ownership of key planning, budgeting and forecasting processes and answers questions related to topics within own responsibility; Manages financial planning, forecasting and reporting processes; Prepares budgets that are aligned to the strategic objectives of the organisation\department; Addresses complex budgeting and financial management issues; Formulates long term financial plans and resource allocations; |






| | | | | Knowledge Management | Core Managerial Competencies |
|--|--|---|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| | | and Shares information and knowledge with coworkers. | projects; Analyses and interprets information to draw conclusions; Seeks new sources of information to increase own knowledge base; | Collects, categorises and tracks relevant | Basic 1-2 |
| knowledge sharing within own area; • Adapts and integrates information from multiple sources to create innovative knowledge management solutions; and • Nurtures a knowledge-enabling environment. | knowledge specialists and other knowledge bases appropriately to improve organisational efficiency; Promotes the importance of | influence decisions; Creates mechanisms and structures for sharing of knowledge in the organisation; Uses libraries, researchers, | modern technology to stay abreast of world trends and information; • Evaluates information from multiple sources and uses information to | Uses appropriate information systems to | Proficiency Level : Competent 3-4 |
| ideas. | organisation; Creates a culture of a learning organisation; and Holds motivational sessions with colleagues to share information and new | organisation; Coaches others on knowledge management techniques; Monitors and measures knowledge management capability in | and processes to meet future knowledge management requirements; Shares and promotes best practices across the | Anticipates future knowledge management requirements and systems: Develope standard | Advance - 5 |



| Problem Solving and Analysis | Service Delivery Innovation |
|--|--|
| Understands the basic steps in problem solving and analysis and solves basic problems using organisation guidelines; Identifies when to solve problems independently and when to consult others for resolution beyond own authority; Participates actively and constructively in problem solving discussions; and Identifies and documents issues associated with problems. | Recommends new ways of performing tasks within own function; Identifies and seeks potential sources of new ideas and approaches to enhance service delivery; Proposes simple remedial solutions to simple service delivery orientated problems; and Listens to the ideas and perspectives of others and explores opportunities to enhance these ideas. |
| • Explains potential impact of problems to own working environment;• Demonstrates logical problem solving approach and provides rationale for proposed solutions;• Determines root causes of problems;• Demonstrates objectivity, thoroughness, insightfulness, and problem; and behaviours when approaching problems; and problems; the ability to break down complex problems into manageable parts and identify solutions. | Consults clients and stakeholders on ways to improve the delivery of services; Communicates the benefits of service delivery improvement opportunities to stakeholders; Identifies internal process improvement opportunities; Identifies and analyses opportunities where innovative ideas can lead to improved service delivery; Creates mechanisms to encourage innovation and creativity within functional area and across the organisation; and Implements innovative service delivery options in own department/organisation. |
| • Coaches others on the analytical techniques and problem solving methods; • Anticipates organisational problems and strategises to counteract potential impact; • Involves the appropriate people, to resolve complex, interdepartmental problems; • Generates various solutions/ options and contingency plans for problems; • Identifies the impact of solutions on multiple areas within the organisation; and • Develops contingency measures and explores various problem solving options. | Formulates and implements new ideas throughout the organisation; Ensures buy-in from key stakeholders; Consults and utilises international best practices on Service Delivery Innovation; Aligns the Service Delivery Innovation initiatives with the latest technology; Researches needs of clients; Coaches others on innovation techniques; and Inspires service providers to improve delivery of services. |





| | People Management and Empowerment | Core Managerial Competencies |
|-------------------------|--|------------------------------|
| | • Participates in team goal setting and problem solving; • Interacts and collaborates with diverse groups of people; • Understands team strengths, weaknesses and preferences; and • Is aware of the appropriate steps and guidelines for employee development and feedback, but not yet fully able to implement these. | Basical 2 |
| participatory approach. | Sceks opportunities to increase personal contribution and level of responsibility; Supports and respects the individuality of others and recognises the benefits of diversity of ideas and approaches; Delegates and empowers others to increase contribution and level of responsibility; Applies labour and employment legislation and regulations consistently; Facilitates team goal setting and problem solving; Recognises differences between individuals, cultures and teams and provides developmental feedback in accordance with performance management principles; Adheres to internal and national standards with regards to human resource practices; developmental feedback in accordance with performance management principles; Adheres to internal and national standards with regards to human resource practices; developmental feedback in accordance with performance management principles; Adheres to internal and national standards with regards to human resource practices; developmental feedback in accordance with performance management principles; Adheres to internal and national standards with regards to human resource practices; developmental feedback in accordance with performance management principles; Adheres to internal and national standards with regards to human resource practices; developmental feedback in accordance with performance management principles; Adheres to internal and national standards with regards to human resource practices; Adheres to internal and national standards with regards to human resource practices; Adheres to internal and national standards with regards to human resource practices; Adheres to internal and national standards with regards to human resource practices; Adheres to internal and national standards with regards to human resources and provides developmental feedback in accordance with performance with performance and provides an | Profidency Level |
| | • Analyses ineffective team and work processes and recommends improvement; • Recognises and rewards desired behaviours and results; • Mentors and counsels others; • Addresses balance between individual career expectations and organisational needs; • Considers developmental needs of personnel when building teams and assigning tasks; • Establishes an environment in which personnel can maximise their potential; • Guides others on managing people; • Inspires a culture of performance excellence by giving positive and constructive feedback to the team; • Creates links among various individuals, cultures and teams and instils a common sense of identity towards the achievement of goals; • Shares knowledge of the big picture to help others understand their role; and • Creates a culture of continuous learning and development. | Advante 5 |



| Core Managerial Competencies | Basic 1-2 | Proficiency Level Competent 3-4 | Advance - 5 |
|---------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Client Orientation and Customer | Acknowledges customers rights; Applies | Develops clear and implementable service | Coaches others about the importance and |
| Focus | customer knowledge to improve own organisation or department; • Maintains good | delivery improvement programmes; • Identifies opportunities to exceed the expectations of | application of customer and client knowledge;• Fosters an environment in which customer |
| | relationship with customers and understands their priorities; and • Redirects queries to the | customers; Designs internal work processes to improve customer service; Adds value to the | satisfaction is valued and delivered; Addresses and resolves high-risk, high profile stakeholder |
| | most appropriate person/solution provider and | organisation by providing exemplary customer | issues; and • Takes advantage of opportunities to |
| | follows through to ensure customer needs are met. | service; and • Applies customer rights in own work environment. | learn about stakeholders and brings this information to own functional area. |
| | | | |
| | | | |





PERSONAL
DEVELOPMENT PLAN
(PDP)

MADE AND ENTERED INTO BY AND BETWEEN:

MOPANI DISTRICT
MUNICIPALITY

AS REPRESENTED BY THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER

MR TSHEPO JACK MOGANO

AND

DR TSHEPO MONAKEDI

THE EMPLOYEE OF THE MUNICIPALITY

FOR THE

FINANCIAL YEAR:

1 JULY 2025 - 30 JUNE 2026



1. INTRODUCTION

The Aim of the Personal Development Plan (PDP) is to ensure that Employees are skilled to meet Objectives as set out in the Performance Management Agreement as prescribed by legislation. Successful career-path planning ensures competent employees for current and possible future positions. It there for identifies, prioritise and implement training needs.

Legislative needs taken into account comes from the Municipal Systems Act Guidelines: Generic senior management competency framework and occupational competency profiles, Municipal Finance Management Competency Regulations, such as those developed by the National Treasury and other line sector departments' legislated competency requirements need also be taken into consideration during the PDP process.

2. COMPETENCE MODELLING

What does an institution mean when it says an employee / prospective employee is competent if he / she fits a managerial competency framework or occupational competency profile? The institution is in fact expressing competence as a future-oriented ideal that they require to achieve their strategic objectives [The institution is in effect giving a depiction of the desired or required knowledge, skills and attributes for an individual in a specific position]. For competence to be useful, the associated competence should be greater than the observed performance as it will allow the individual growth towards this 'ideal'

There is however a risk in expressing a required competence that a current or prospective employee should adhere to in the future, as the future is, by definition; uncertain. Managers cannot know how an employee will perform in the future nor can they know how employees that they did not select, did not promote, did not award a qualification to, might perform.

Moreover, managers do not make their expressions in a social vacuum. They do so within a social context in which there are various actors, various stakeholders, with different interest's accountabilities, different things they are trying to achieve and various ways in which others will hold them accountable. If managers are selecting employees they shall similarly have to justify their decisions to others. Relevance thus becomes an obvious issue that affects the level of confidence in such a decision. Various human resources procedures and systems need to be established to maintain the relevance of the expression of competence to the requirements of the employer. Confidence is the basis on which the various parties implicated in the decisions and actions taken within a competence system will seek to account to others for those decisions and actions.

When linking a decision that a prospective employee / current employee is competent the communication is based on what may be called conventions of assessment. Some common understanding is achieved by which a certain set of arrangements become socially accepted as the basis for linking different contexts. Contexts differ, in particular in terms of time. So performance in the past is linked to future situations in which desired performance is anticipated. This linking of contexts will normally involve some model, some way of accounting for the claimed link.

The Department of Cooperative Governance has published a competency framework for senior managers in January 2014, with focus on the following:

- Critical leading competencies that drive the strategic intent and direction of local government;
- Core competencies which senior managers are expected to possess, and which drive the execution of the leading competencies; and
- The eight Batho Pele principles

(g)

1.0

3. COMPILING THE PERSONAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN ATTACHED AS THE APPENDIX. A manager, in consultation with his / her employee is to compile a Personal Development Plan. The PDP has 7 columns that need to be completed: An example is attached as Appendix A.

Column 1: Skills/Performance GAP

| 1. Skills / Performan ce Gap (in order of priority) | 2. Outcomes Expected (measurable indicators: quantity, quality and time frames) | 3. Suggested training and / or developmen t activity | 4. Suggested mode of delivery | 5. Suggested Time Frames | 6. Work opportuni ty created to practice skill / developm ent area | 7. Support Person |
|---|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| E.g. 1 Appraise Performanceloj Managets | The manager will be able to enter into performance agreements with all managers reporting to him / her capraise them against set criteria within relevant time frames | A course containing theoretical and practical application with coaching in the work place following [relevant unit standard?] | External provider, in line with identified unit. standard and not exceeding R 6 000 | March 200 | Appraisal of managers reporting to him / her | Senior Manager Training/ HR |

(a) The identified training needs should be entered into column one. The following should be taken into consideration:

Organisational needs

Strategic development priorities and competency requirements, in line with the municipality's strategic objectives.

The competency requirements of individual jobs. The relevant job requirements (job competency profile) as identified in the job description should be compared to the current competency profile of the employee to determine the individual's competency gaps.

Specific competency gaps as identified during the probation period and performance appraisal of the employee.

Individual training needs that are job / career related.

Prioritisation of the training needs [1 to ...] in column 1 should also be determined since it may not be possible to address all identified training needs in a specific financial year. It is however of critical importance that training needs be addressed on a phased and priority basis. This implies that all these needs should be prioritized for purposes of accommodating critical / strategic training and development needs in the HR Plan, Personal Development Plans and the Workplace Skills Plan.

Column 2: Outcomes Expected

| 1. Skills / | 2. Outcomes | 3. Suggested | 4. | 5. | 6. Work | 7. |
|-------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|---------|
| Performan | Expected | training and | Suggested | Suggested | opportuni | Support |
| ce Gap (in order of priority) | (measurable indicators: quantity, quality and time frames) | / or developmen t activity | mode of delivery | Time Frames | ty created to practice skill / developm ent area | Person |

Consideration must be given to the outcomes expected in column 2 so that once the intervention is completed the impact it had can be measured against relevant output indicators.

1.13



3. Column 3: Suggested Training

| o. Column o. Juggested Hamming | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|-------------------|---|
| 1. Skilis / | 2. Outcomes | 3. Suggested | 4. | 5. | 6. Work | 7. | ٦ |
| Performan ce Gap (in order of priority) | Expected (measurable indicators: quantity, quality and time frames) | training and / or developmen t activity | Suggested mode of delivery | Suggested Time Frames | opportuni ty created to practice skill / developm ent area | Support Person | |

Training needs must be identified with due regard to cost effectiveness and listed in column 3.

4. Column 4: Suggested mode of delivery

| 1. Skills / | 2. Outcomes | 3. Suggested | 4. | 5. | 6. Work | 7. |
|--------------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| Performan | Expected | training and | Suggested | Suggested | opportuni | Support |
| ce Gap | (measurable | / or | mode of | Time | ty created | Person |
| (in order of | indicators: quantity, | developmen | delivery | Frames | to practice | |
| priority) | quality and time | t activity | | | skill / | |
| | frames) | | | İ | developm | |
| | | | | ļ | ent area | |

The suggested mode of delivery refers to the chosen methodology that is deemed most relevant to ensure transfer of skills. Mode of delivery consists of, amongst others, self-study, internal or external training provision; coaching and / or mentoring and exchange programmes. Training must be conducted either in line with a recognised qualification from a tertiary institution or unit standards registered on the National Qualifications Framework (South African Qualifications Authority), which could enable the trainee to obtain recognition towards a qualification for training undertaken. It is important to determine within the municipality whether unit standards have been developed with regard to a specific outcome (and registered with the South African Qualifications Authority). Unit standards usually have measurable assessment criteria to determine achieved competency.

5. Column 5: Suggested Time Lines

| | and the second of the second of the | 7.2.7.4.88 C 7. C 9.2.1111 | and the second state of the second | AND AND SOUTH AND A | est actions in the | SECTION AND SECTION | 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1 |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--|
| Ī | 1. Skills / | 2. Outcomes | 3. Suggested | 4. | 5. | 6. Work | 7. |
| 1 | Performan | Expected | training and | Suggested | Suggested | opportuni | Support |
| 1 | ce Gap | (measurable | / or | mode of | Time | ty created | Person |
| ١ | (in order of | indicators: quantity, | developmen | delivery | Frames | to practice | |
| ١ | priority) | quality and time | t activity | | | skill / | |
| ١ | | frames) | | | | developm | |
| L | | | | | <u> </u> | ent area | |

An employee should on average receive at least five days of training per financial year and not unnecessarily be withdrawn from training interventions. The suggested time frames enable managers to effectively plan for the annumie g. so that not all their employees are away from work within the same period and also ensuring that the PDP is implemented systematically.

6. Column 6: Work opportunity created to practice skill / development area

| IV. | NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY OF THE PA | Chamber Carlot poet Chamber 12 in the Carlot Carlo | Contract Con | Salaret ray by Services | French Compromented and the compression | A Page 10 hours of the comment | Company of the Company |
|-----|--|--|--|-------------------------|---|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| | 1. Skills / | 2. Outcomes | 3. Suggested | 4. | 5. | 6. Work | 7. |
| | Performan | Expected | training and | Suggested | Suggested | opportuni | Support |
| 2 | ce Gap | (measurable | /or | mode of | Time | ty created | Person |
| 2 | (in order of | indicators: quantity, | developmen | delivery | Frames | to practice | |
| Š | priority) | quality and time | t activity | | | skill / | |
| 1 | | frames) | | | | developm | |
| Š | | | | | | ent area | |

This further ensures internalisation of information gained as well as return on investment (not just a nice to have skill but a necessary to have skill that is used in the workplace).

10



7. Column 7: Support Person 3. Suggested training and 1. Skills / 2. Outcomes 6. Work Performan Expected Suggested Suggested opportuni Support ce Gap (in order of (measurable indicators: quantity, quality and time / or developmen t activity mode of delivery Time ty created to practice skill / Frames priority) frames) developm ent area This identifies a support person that could act as coach or mentor with regard to the area of learning for the employee.



Colporate Colemie of Hild Composite CECTIFICATE LAR CORD PORTING SIFT J.J. Series installational exists Adity Colling Shills Ald CELSI PLEATE IN PERSON 1-108 ANDI-Personal Development Action Plan ชาสได้จะสีการกระการใหม่ให

Municipal Mahager S Signature

Employee 5 Signature

Date

- 40/40/2roz

Date

01/07/05